

**City of Minneapolis
FY 2009 Budget**

Financial Policies

➤ Financial Management Policies	68
➤ Financial Planning and Policy Resolution: Independent Boards	93
➤ 2003 Neighborhood Revitalization Program Ordinance and Policy on Development Resources	97

**City of Minneapolis
FY 2009 Budget
Financial Policies**

Financial Management Policies

The City of Minneapolis' Financial Management Policies provide a framework for the fiscal management of the City. These policies cover the following areas:

- ◆ Operating Budgeting
- ◆ Revenue
- ◆ Reserve
- ◆ Debt Management
- ◆ Capital Budget
- ◆ Accounting
- ◆ Investment
- ◆ Development Finance (including Tax Increment)
- ◆ Public Participation
- ◆ Administrative
- ◆ Transfers

OPERATING BUDGETING POLICIES

The objective of the operating budget policies is to ensure adequate levels of essential City services at reasonable costs.

Balanced Budget. The operating budget for the City shall be balanced. For each fund, ongoing costs are not to exceed ongoing revenues plus available fund balances used in accordance with reserve policies.

Self-supporting Enterprises. All enterprise activities of the City shall be self-supporting to the greatest extent possible, including those activities contained within the Internal Service Funds.

Service Levels. Performance measurement and productivity indicators for services shall be integrated into the annual budgeting process. Changes in service levels shall be governed by the following:

- **Budget Process.** The annual budget process is intended to weigh all competing requests for City resources within expected fiscal constraints. Requests for new programs made outside the annual budget process are discouraged. New initiatives will be financed by reallocating existing City resources to the services with the highest priorities.
- **Personnel Expenses.** Additional personnel shall be requested only after service needs have been thoroughly documented or after it is substantiated that the new employees will result in increased revenue or enhanced operating efficiencies.
- **Grant Funded Programs.** Programs financed with grant monies shall be budgeted in special revenue funds, and the service program shall be adjusted to reflect the level of available grant funding. Individual grant budgets are controlled in the financial system at the cost category level. In the event of reduced grant funding, City funding sources shall

be substituted only after all competing program priorities are considered during the annual budget process.

Basis of Budgeting. The basis of budgeting and accounting are the same, except as noted below. The budgets of all governmental and agency funds are created using the modified accrual basis. Revenues are budgeted if they are measurable and available as net current assets. Major revenues that are determined to be susceptible to accrual include property taxes, special assessments, grants-in-aid, intergovernmental revenues, rentals, franchise fees, and intra-City charges.

Interest on investments, short-term notes and loans receivable are accrued; interest on special assessments receivable is not accrued or budgeted. Major revenues that are determined not to be susceptible to accrual because they are not available soon enough to pay liabilities of the current period or are not objectively measurable include delinquent property taxes and assessments, licenses, permits, fines and forfeitures. Delinquent property taxes are not budgeted, while the other categories are budgeted.

In the governmental and agency funds, expenditures are generally budgeted when the related fund liability is incurred, except for principal and interest on general long-term debt, which is recognized when due. Compensated absences, which include accumulated unpaid vacation, compensatory time and severance pay, are not payable from expendable available resources, except for available resources in the Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund for vested severance pay. Compensated absences are considered expenditures when paid to employees. Salary expenditures are budgeted based on full time equivalents (FTEs), regardless of the type of pay.

Proprietary funds use the accrual basis. Revenues are budgeted as they are anticipated to be earned. Unbilled utility service receivables are recorded at year end. Utility Service revenue estimates are based on the number of users, without a factor for delinquencies.

Budgetary Controls. The legal level of budgetary control is at the department level within a fund. The City Coordinator's Office, the Public Works Department and the City Clerk/Elections/City Council areas are considered to be legal levels of budgetary control within a fund even though budgetary data may be presented at lower levels. Budgetary amendments at the department/fund level must be approved by the City Council. Appropriations lapse at year end.

Purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments are recorded as encumbrances, which reserve appropriation authority. Encumbrances outstanding at year end are reported as reservations of fund balance and do not represent generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) expenditures.

Five-Year Financial Direction. City departments will prepare business plans with a five-year planning horizon which reflect the allocation of general City revenues, including property tax revenue. This financial direction is based upon the City's adopted tax policy, which established an 8-percent maximum annual revenue increase in the property tax levy. This direction also reflects the Compensation Philosophy which was approved by City Council in 2007. The compensation philosophy was put in place to help guide the city in attracting, retaining and motivating employees.

REVENUE POLICIES

The objective of the revenue policies is to ensure that funding is derived from a fair, equitable, and adequate resource base, while minimizing tax differential burdens.

Revenue Structure and Sources. The City will maintain a stable and diverse revenue system to shelter programs and services from short-term fluctuations in any single revenue source. Services having a city-wide benefit shall be financed with revenue sources generated from a broad base, such as property taxes and state aids. Services where the customer determines the use shall be financed with user fees, charges and assessments related to the level of service provided.

Tax Base Capacity. In July 2002, the Mayor and City Council approved a resolution that set the maximum increase in the total property tax levy collected by the City, including independent boards and special levies, at no more than 8-percent from the previous year's amount effective in 2003. This resolution serves as a guideline for preparing tax revenue forecasts.

User Fees. The City shall implement user charges in lieu of general revenue sources for identified services where the costs are related to the level of service.

Cost of Service. The City shall establish user charges and fees at a level that reflects the service costs. Components of the user charges shall include operating and capital costs, as well as the direct and indirect costs of providing the service. Full cost charges shall be imposed unless it is determined that policy, legal or market factors require lower fees.

Policy and Market Considerations. The City shall consider policy objectives, market rates and charges levied by other public and private organizations for similar services when City fees and charges are established.

Non-Resident Charges. User fees and other appropriate charges shall be levied for City activities, services or facilities in which non-residents participate, whenever practical. Non-resident fees shall be set at market levels to minimize the tax burden on City residents.

Enterprise Service Fees. User charges for Enterprise Services such as water, sewer, stormwater, and solid waste collection, shall be set at rates sufficient to finance all direct and indirect operating, capital, reserve/working capital and debt service costs. Overhead expenses and general government services provided to the enterprise activities shall be included as indirect costs. Rates will be set such that these enterprise funds are never in a cash deficit during the year.

Code Enforcement and License Fees. These activities shall be funded through user charges that reflect the cost of the services provided, including direct and indirect expenses, to the extent legally allowable.

Internal Service Fees. When interdepartmental charges are used to finance internal service functions, the charges shall reflect full costs, including all direct and indirect expenses. Costs for services will be allocated to departments using a rate model.

Administrative Fees. Administrative fees shall be assessed on all non-General Fund supported capital projects. These fees allocate the proportionate share of general government services to those projects so that the General Fund is not required to subsidize infrastructure or economic development projects.

Parking Fees. Hourly, daily, and monthly contract rates for City-owned parking facilities shall be adjusted at least annually to reflect market prices of privately-owned parking facilities. Fee adjustments shall also consider downtown objectives, such as development incentives, space availability, business promotion, traffic control, and mass transit patronage.

Fines. Levels of fines shall be set according to legal guidelines, deterrent effect, administrative costs and revenue potential.

Convention Center. The Convention Center will develop a profit and loss statement for each event. The Center shall be managed so that operating costs are financed through user charges to the greatest extent possible within the overall mission of the Convention Center.

Dedicated Revenues. Except where required by law or generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), no revenues shall be dedicated for specific purposes. All non-restricted revenues shall be deposited in the General Fund and appropriated through the annual budget process.

Private Revenues. All private money donated, contributed or lent to the City shall be subject to grant solicitation and acceptance procedures, and shall be deposited in the appropriate City fund and accounted for as public money through the City's budget process and accounting system.

Special Assessments. The City Council has the authority to levy special assessments for approximately 65 different types of projects. There are three main areas of assessments:

- *Public Works:* Most common types of assessments are for sidewalks, street improvements, water and sewer line repairs and Nicollet Mall. (Assessments for unpaid utilities are handled by the City's Finance Department.)
- *Inspections:* Most common types are for rubbish removal, trees, grass and brush cutting inspection fees for inoperable vehicles towed from private property, re-inspection fees, administrative citations, vacant building registration fee and inspections, and Police boarding.
- *Park Board:* Most common types of assessments are for tree removal and parkway and sidewalk reconstruction.

This policy covers City-administered special assessments only.

Method of Payment (Public Improvement Assessments). Owners of benefiting properties shall have the option of paying their assessment all at once or in installments as part of their annual real estate taxes. The City Council shall determine the number of equal annual installments, not to exceed twenty, in which assessments may be paid. The City Council shall determine the interest rate to be paid annually on all unpaid installments; this rate shall not exceed the maximum rate of interest as provided for in statute (*MN Statutes 2005, section 429.061, Subd. 2*). The first installment shall be payable in the year following completion of the project and in the same manner as real estate taxes.

Capital Improvements Fund. The Capital Improvements Fund is a special revenue fund of the City, which shall contain the proceeds of any levy or bonds issued for public infrastructure projects. Any project costs that are not assessed, such as water mains, shall be paid from this fund. If the amount of money raised through special assessments is insufficient to pay the maximum amount specified for the project, the balance needed shall be taken from the Capital Improvements Fund. Offsetting revenue and City Council approval is required in order for the Capital Improvements Fund to cover insufficiencies.

*[New in 2008] **Property Disposition Fund.** The proceeds of the Property Disposition Fund are to be used for implementing the City's strategic real estate plan, as developed and approved by the Facilities, Space, and Asset Management Committee.

Uniform Assessment Rate. The Uniform Assessment Rate is a standardized rate applied in street construction and street renovation projects. The current policy requires that assessments be part of the funding for all street paving construction/reconstruction projects for which the City is to bear any part of the cost, except for freeways. This rate shall be applied to the square footage per parcel in the project area to arrive at an assessment cost for each benefited property. The goal of the rate is to ensure an equitable distribution of costs between projects and to assess approximately 25% of project costs. The Uniform Assessment shall be calculated annually and submitted to the City Council's Transportation and Public Works Committee for approval. Separate rates must be established based on the type of project (construction or renovation), funding category (local or other) and benefited parcel category (non-residential or residential).

Tax-Forfeited Properties. A portion of nuisance abatement special assessments may be cancelled for tax-forfeited properties.

- *Tax-forfeited properties sold to the general public –*
 - The portion of a property's post-forfeiture special assessments, pending assessments and charges in excess of fair market value shall be cancelled if Hennepin County has not sold the property within 90 days of the property's first offering to the public; and
 - The City will waive its right to assess or reassess pre-forfeiture and post-forfeiture special assessments, pending assessments and charges related to nuisance abatement activities under Chapters 227 and 249, and similar provisions of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances.

- *Tax-forfeited properties located in targeted neighborhoods and purchased by the City for redevelopment purposes –*
 - The portion of a property's post-forfeiture special assessments, pending assessments and charges in excess of fair market value shall be cancelled; and
 - The City will waive its right to assess or reassess pre-forfeiture and post-forfeiture special assessments, pending assessments and charges related to nuisance abatement activities under Chapters 227 and 249, and similar provisions of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances.

* Proceeds of a sale of the Public Works Yards at 44th and Snelling Ave will first be available for public improvements, or property acquisition to implement public improvements, within two blocks of the Yards site in order to carry out the community and regional vision for transit-oriented development and circulation improvements around the 46th Street Light Rail Transit Station, as adopted in Minneapolis' Comprehensive Plan.

RESERVE POLICIES

The objective of the reserve policies is to provide adequate working capital for cash flow and contingency purposes, while maintaining reasonable tax rates.

Cash Flow and Contingency. The City shall maintain a minimum unallocated General Fund balance of 10 percent of the following year's revenue budget amount to be used for cash flow purposes, unanticipated expenditures of a non-recurring nature, or to meet unexpected increases in service delivery costs. Until such time that the aggregate internal service funds net asset position is positive, the City shall maintain a 15 percent fund balance in the General Fund.

To the extent that unusual contingencies exist as a result of state or federal aid uncertainties, or other highly variable factors, a balance larger than this minimum amount may be maintained. These funds will be used to avoid cash flow interruptions, generate interest income, avoid the needs for short-term borrowing, and assist in maintaining a triple-A bond rating.

In the event a balance larger than the "base" amount exists as a result of state or federal aid, salary settlements, or other unknowns provided for in the budget, the City shall decide whether to transfer cash to the Internal Service Funds of the City to help reduce the negative cash balances in these funds. When financial stability is returned to the Internal Service Funds of the City, the City shall reevaluate the Cash Flow and Contingency policy statement. Specifically, future changes shall address the level of balance that may be maintained above the minimum "base." [Internal Service Fund commitment adopted in December 2000, reaffirmed in 2006]

Appropriate operating contingency reserves shall be maintained in enterprise funds to provide for business interruption costs and other unanticipated expenditures of a non-recurring nature. Appropriate capital fund reserves shall also be maintained for emergency improvements relating to new regulations, or emergency needs for capital repair or replacement.

For all other funds, appropriate balances shall be maintained reflecting the nature of the accounts, such as:

Special Assessment Funds. The appropriate balance shall be the amount needed for revolving fund cash flow purposes.

Enterprise Funds. The appropriate balance shall be maintained to ensure adequate maintenance reserves, cash flow balancing requirements and legal restrictions. Where cost-effective access to capital markets is available and debt financing is regularly used, replacement balances shall not be maintained so current consumers are not required to pay for future facilities. The City shall maintain a minimum cash balance in its Enterprise Funds equal to approximately three months of operating expense.

Use of Fund Balances. Available fund balances shall not be used for ongoing operating expenditures, unless a determination has been made that available balances are in excess of required guidelines and that plans have been established to address any future operating budget shortfalls. Emphasis shall be placed on one-time uses that achieve future operating cost reductions. Fund Balance is the cumulative years' excess or deficit of all revenues and expense. In the case of proprietary funds, this is termed unrestricted net assets. For the purposes of the budget document, revenue and expense activity includes bond proceeds and debt service.

Annual Review

An annual review of cash flow requirements and appropriate fund balances shall be undertaken to determine whether modifications are appropriate for the reserve policy.

DEBT MANAGEMENT POLICIES

Objective. The objective of the debt management policies is to provide a framework for managing the City's Capital financing and economic development activities in a way that preserves the public trust and balances costs to current and future taxpayers without endangering essential City services.

Authority and Oversight. Management responsibility for the City's debt program is delegated to the Chief Financial Officer. The Debt Management Committee advises the CFO on the use of debt financing and debt management activities. The Debt Management Committee meets periodically at the call of the CFO and includes the following persons:

- Chief Financial Officer
- City Attorney
- Director of Management and Budget
- Director of Capital & Debt Management
- Executive Secretary, Board of Estimate & Taxation
- Director, Development Finance or designee
- Independent Bond Counsel as needed
- Independent Financial Advisor as needed

Guiding Principles for City of Minneapolis Debt Issuance.

Method of Sale. The three primary methods of selling bonds include competitive sale, negotiated sale and private placement. The City uses the competitive sale method for its general obligation bond sales unless factors such as structure, size or market conditions compel the use of a negotiated sale. The City may use the negotiated sale method on economic development related projects when the characteristics of the transaction require a more specific marketing plan and/or the issue lacks an investment grade rating due to complex security provisions or other factors.

Selection of Independent Advisors. The City uses competitive processes to select all service providers involved in the bond issuance process.

- **Short-term Debt/Use of Derivatives.** The City limits issuance of short-term debt for cash flow purposes, generally using cash reserves and investment practices to ensure adequate liquidity exists to pay for expenditures during the year. Derivative-based financing arrangements shall only be used after careful evaluation by knowledgeable staff regarding the benefits of the instruments as well as all of the associated risks including counterparty credit, market, settlement and operating risk.
- **Variable Rate Debt.** The City uses variable rate debt to provide debt structuring flexibility and potential interest savings to the total debt portfolio. Generally, the City maintains no more than 25% of its total debt obligations in variable rate mode. It also manages no more than 25% of the debt in variable rate mode within the major business functions that issue debt such as the Stormwater, Sanitary Sewer, Water and Parking funds or the Convention Center.
- **Conduit Debt Financings.** The City has an active program of conduit business financings. Development proposals are reviewed to determine if they meet program

objectives as determined by City Council financing guidelines and whether the proposal is financially feasible. Items reviewed during due diligence reviews include narrative on the company and owners, past three years of financial statements, personal financial statements, tenant and lease data, market feasibility studies, business plans, project pro formas, appraisals, plans and specifications, environmental reviews, insurance covenants, etc. Additionally, the project will be evaluated for consistency with other city measures related to land use, job creation and compliance with affirmative action, civil rights, job linkage and other equal employment opportunity requirements.

Bond Specifics.

General Obligation Bonds, Property Tax Supported. General obligation, property tax supported bonds finance only those capital improvements and long-term assets that have been determined to be essential to the maintenance or development of the City.

General Obligation Revenue Bonds. The City issues general obligation revenue bonds to finance assets associated with its primary enterprise businesses including storm water and sanitary sewers, waterworks and parking ramps. Financial feasibility of capital projects is reviewed each year, including a review of the cash basis pro formas for these funds. Five-year business plans detailing projected operating costs and prior debt obligations are reviewed as well as revenue performance and rate setting analysis to ensure that adequate bond coverage ratios are achieved.

Tax Increment Bonds. The City uses tax increment bonds only where projects can be shown to be self-liquidating from tax increments arising in sufficient amounts, or where secured guarantees are provided for potential shortfalls, and with appropriate timing to avoid, to the maximum extent possible, the use of city-wide property tax revenues and where maximum allowable guarantees are obtained.

The City will not issue general obligation tax increment bonds except when all net bond proceeds are used to directly pay public costs or refinance debt that was previously issued to pay for such costs, and the taxable development that will generate the tax increment is either fully constructed or is underway and subject to the terms and conditions of a development agreement with the City. Alternatives, such as “pay as you go” financing and reimbursing front-end public redevelopment costs with tax increment revenues, are preferable to bond financing and are to be considered and used when appropriate.

Special Obligation Revenue Bonds. Special obligation revenue bonds, those bonds for which the City incurs no financial or moral obligation, are issued only if the associated development projects can be shown to be financially feasible and contributing substantially to the welfare and/or economic development of the City and its inhabitants.

Bond Term. The City shall issue bonds with terms no longer than the economic useful life of the project. For self-supporting bonds, maturities and associated debt service shall not exceed projected revenue streams.

Feasibility. The City shall obtain secured guarantees for self-supporting and tax increment supported bonds to the extent possible. The City shall also obtain assurances of project viability and guarantees of completion prior to the issuance of bonds.

CAPITAL BUDGET POLICIES

The objective of the capital budget policies is to ensure maintenance of public infrastructure in the most cost-efficient manner.

Capital Improvement Program. The City prepares and adopts a five-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) that details each capital project, the estimated cost and funding sources. An adopted point rating system is used to rank and prioritize recommended projects.

Operating Budget Impacts. Operating expenditures/savings of each capital request are included in the cost of implementing the CIP and reflect estimates of all personnel expenses and other operating costs attributable to the capital outlays. Departments receiving capital funds must account for the increased operating costs resulting from capital projects.

Repair and Replacement. The City strives to maintain its physical assets at a level that protects the City's capital investments and minimizes future maintenance and replacement costs. Where possible, the capital budget shall provide for the adequate maintenance, repair and replacement of the capital plant and equipment from current revenues.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The objective of the accounting policies is to ensure that all financial transactions of the City and its boards, commissions, and agencies conform to the City Charter, Minnesota statutes, grant requirements, the principles of sound financial management and generally accepted accounting principles.

Accounting Standards. The City shall establish and maintain accounting systems according to the generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), which are set by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). The central system shall be used for financial transactions of all City departments, boards, and independent agencies.

Disclosure and Monitoring. Full disclosure is provided in all financial statements and bond representations. Financial systems are maintained to monitor expenditures and revenues on a daily, monthly, and year end basis. A Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) is published by the City within six months of the following year.

INVESTMENT POLICIES

The objective of the investment policies is to ensure that revenues received by the City are promptly recorded and deposited in designated depositories. If not immediately required for the payment of obligations, revenues shall be placed in authorized investments. Funds shall be deposited only in the types of investment instruments authorized by the City's Financial Management Policies, *Minnesota Statutes* 2005, Chapter 118A, or City Council resolutions. Investments by the City shall conform to the following investment principles:

Safety. Safety of principal is the City's foremost objective. Each investment transaction shall seek to first ensure that capital losses are avoided, whether from securities defaults or from erosion of market value.

Liquidity. The City's investments shall be structured to provide liquidity to meet its obligations in a timely manner without loss of principal.

Yield. The investment portfolio shall be designed to attain a market-average rate of return through budgetary and economic cycles, taking into account the City's investment risk constraints, cash flow characteristics, and safety of principal.

Diversification. The City shall diversify its investments to minimize the risk of loss resulting from over-concentration of assets in a specific maturity, a business sector (excluding U.S. Treasuries), a specific issuer or a specific class of securities.

Maintaining the Public Trust. The investment program shall be designed and managed with professionalism worthy of the public trust. The best investment vehicles for the City's objectives shall be sought through competitive processes. Investment officials shall avoid any transaction that might impair public confidence in City government.

Use of Derivatives. Derivative securities shall only be used after careful evaluation by knowledgeable staff regarding the benefits of the instruments as well as all of the associated risks including counterparty credit, market, settlement and operating risk. Internal controls shall be established to ensure adequate management for each type of derivative.

Standard of Care. The "prudent person" standard shall be applied in the context of managing the overall investment portfolio. Investment officers, acting in accordance with fiduciary standards and written procedures, shall be relieved of personal responsibility for an individual security's credit risk or market price changes, provided that deviations from expectations are reported in a timely manner and appropriate action is taken to control adverse developments.

Internal Controls and Safekeeping. The City Finance Officer shall establish a written system of internal controls. To protect against potential fraud and embezzlement, assets of the City shall be secured through third party custody and other safekeeping procedures. The City shall authorize the custodian financial institution to utilize security lending to maximize return on investments. Bearer instruments shall be held only through third party institutions. Investment officials shall be bonded.

Reporting

The City shall prepare a quarterly investment report describing the characteristics of the portfolio, including a summary of recent market conditions, investment performance and investment strategies. This report will be included with the quarterly financial report that is presented to the City Council and Mayor.

DEVELOPMENT FINANCE POLICIES

The objective of development finance policies is to provide public assistance to community development efforts in a manner that balances costs against benefits. In addition to the City's Financial Management Policies, detailed guidelines have been adopted by the City to manage specific development resources and programs.

To the greatest extent possible, all development activities shall be self-supporting. Sufficient public and private resources shall be identified at the time a project is approved to ensure feasible completion and operation of the project. All development financing proposals shall be reviewed to ensure that the proposed finance plan is reasonable, balanced, and the best means by which to achieve City objectives, while adequately protecting city-wide financial interests.

Tax Increment Policy.

Purpose of Policy. This Tax Increment Policy has been approved by City Council for the following purposes:

- to guide staff in forming recommendations regarding the use of tax increment financing and negotiating contract terms with developers;
- to provide a framework within which the City Council and Mayor can evaluate and compare proposed uses of tax increment financing; and
- to inform the public of the City's position on the use of tax increment financing and the process through which decisions regarding the use of the tool are made.

This policy supersedes the Tax Increment Policy approved by City Council on March 22, 2002 and revised on January 1, 2004, and earlier versions of said policy. This policy became effective on April 1, 2005.

Development Objectives. The City uses tax increment financing to accomplish these major objectives:

- Expand the Minneapolis economy to create more living-wage jobs, with an emphasis on providing job opportunities for the unemployed and underemployed.
- Attract and expand new and existing services, developments and employers in order to position Minneapolis and the region to compete in the economy of the 21st century.
- Increase the city's property tax base and maintain its diversity. Clean contaminated land to provide sites for uses that achieve City redevelopment objectives.
- Provide an array of housing choices that meet the needs of current residents and attract new residents to the city, with an emphasis on providing affordable housing.
- Eliminate blighting influences throughout the city.
- Support neighborhood retail services, commercial corridors and employment hubs.
- Support redevelopment efforts that enhance and preserve unique urban features and amenities, including downtown, the riverfront and historic structures.

General Guidelines in the Use of Tax Increment Financing.

- The City will comply with all requirements of the Minnesota Tax Increment Financing Act, as amended. The City will undertake a rigorous analysis to ensure that the proposed project satisfies the “but for” test embodied within the Tax Increment Financing Act.
- The City will use tax increment financing only when a clearly identified city development objective is served and only to the degree necessary to accomplish that development objective.
- Tax increment financing will only be used in cases where the City has the financial capacity to provide the needed public assistance, the City Council deems it fiscally prudent to provide such assistance and the developer can clearly demonstrate that the development will be able to meet its financial and public purpose commitments.
- The City will recapture the public subsidy to the maximum extent feasible after allowing the developer a reasonable return.
- Alternatives, such as “pay as you go” financing and reimbursing front-end public redevelopment costs with tax increment revenues, are preferable to bond financing and are to be considered and used when appropriate. The City will not issue general obligation tax increment bonds except when all net bond proceeds are used to directly pay public costs or refinance debt that was previously issued to pay for such costs, and the taxable development that will generate the tax increment used to pay all or a portion of the debt service on the bonds is either fully constructed and assessed by the City Assessor or is underway and subject to the terms and conditions of a development agreement with the City.
- Only those public improvements and public redevelopment costs directly associated with or needed to service the proposed development plan or project should be financed through tax increment.
- The City will analyze each potential new tax increment financing district and recommend whether it should be included in or excluded from the fiscal disparity contribution. The impact of the fiscal disparity election on the City’s general tax base will be analyzed using the methodology prescribed by the Minnesota Department of Revenue and will be reported to the City Council in a manner understandable to the general public prior to approval of the proposed use of tax increment financing.
- As part of the annual budget process, the City will identify tax increment revenues deemed to be excess tax increment and will make related recommendations for decertification of parcels or districts and report on the total value of captured tax capacity expressed in both dollars and as a percentage of total tax capacity.

Economic Analysis and Risk Assessment Process.

- Proposed uses of tax increment financing will be subject to rigorous economic analysis and risk assessment. City finance department staff will be responsible for overseeing the analysis and assessment process. Consultants will be used to complete needed analysis and assessment as appropriate.
- The analysis and assessment of all proposed uses of tax increment financing will address the following questions as part of the standard format for reports to the City Council:
 - What is the public purpose of the financial assistance to the project?
 - Why is there a financial need for public investment and/or subsidy?
 - What is the total cost of the project?
 - What is the appropriate level of public participation?
 - What are the risks associated with the project?
 - What are the alternative plans for managing the risk?
 - How does the proposed project finance plan compare with previously approved comparable projects?
 - What is the project's impact on other publicly financed projects?
- The results of the economic analysis and risk assessment will be presented to the City Council at the time of the request for approval of the proposed use of tax increment financing. The report will identify any elements of the proposed project that are not in conformance with this Tax Increment Policy.
- Projects with an anticipated term of increment collection greater than 15 years or projects with tax increment principal in excess of \$10 million will be subject to a more extensive analysis, including appropriate market analysis and review by City finance department staff.

Evaluation Criteria. The following items will be taken into consideration in the evaluation of any development proposal requesting tax increment assistance.

- ***Need For Public Assistance.*** In all cases, it is required that the need for public assistance be demonstrated and documented by the developer to the satisfaction of the City Finance Department. All such documentation, including development budgets, cash flow projections, market studies and other financial and market information, must be submitted by the developer along with an application for public financial assistance. If the request is based on financial gap considerations, the developer will demonstrate the profitability and feasibility of the project (*i.e.* gross profit, cash flow before taxes, cash-on-cash return, internal rate of return (IRR), etc.), both with and without public assistance.
- ***Amount of Public Assistance versus Private Investment.*** All development proposals should seek to maximize the amount of private investment per dollar of public assistance. Public assistance as a percentage of total development costs will be determined for each project (or discrete portion of a project receiving a subsidy) and compared to other development projects or subprojects of similar scope and magnitude whenever possible.

- **Term of Public Assistance.** The term of the public assistance shall be kept to a minimum. The proposed term of any public assistance shall be fully documented and explained to the City Council.
- **Development Benefits and Costs.** The direct and indirect benefits of the development proposal shall be determined and quantified to the degree possible. Benefits shall include, but are not limited to, employment benefits (number of jobs retained or created, percentage of jobs held by City residents, wage and salary information, etc.), tax base benefits (estimated market value of new development, new property taxes generated, etc.), housing benefits (number of new rental or ownership units, number of affordable units, etc.), and other benefits relating to transportation, parking, blight remediation, environmental cleanup and historic preservation.

Costs of the development proposal to the City shall also be identified to the degree possible. Such costs shall include, but are not limited to, additional required infrastructure, required local contributions by the City, and the impact on the City's general fund of the fiscal disparity contribution election if tax increment financing is used. The timeframe used for these cost estimates must equal the timeframe of the project finance plan and separately identify any projected recapture of public subsidy.

- **Recapture of Public Subsidy.** It is the City's goal to recapture all, or a portion, of the public subsidy provided to the extent practical. Methods of recapture shall include, but are not limited to, long-term ground leases, subordinated loans, sale and/or refinancing provisions, and equity participation.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION POLICIES

The objective of the public participation policies is to enhance the City's ability to meet financial and policy challenges by promoting a well-informed community and by encouraging public input in the decision-making process.

Financial and Performance Measurement Reports. Information regarding the City budget, financial statements and performance measurement shall be available to citizens.

Budget and Service Priorities. Various methods shall be used to ensure public input into the budgeting process, such as informational hearings, surveys, resident-based review committees and community meetings.

ADMINISTRATIVE FINANCIAL POLICIES

The following policies are ongoing and administrative in nature.

Operating Budget Policies

Revenue Related

General Fund Rate Model. The City will recover costs within the General Fund related to services it provides to non-General Fund departments. The allocation of those costs will be based on a fair and consistent methodology, applied enterprise-wide and developed and administered by the Finance Department.

Work for Others and Grant Funding. When tax and non-tax funds have appropriations based on income from Special Independent School District No. 1, government authorities, grants, donations or contracts, expenditures shall be limited to the amounts which can be supported by billings. Billings must be accompanied by an agreement with this granting authority. City officials should treat billings, grants and aids as revenues only to the extent they are collectible, or authoritatively assured.

Pension Related

Authorizing the City Pension employer deductions. The proper City Officials are directed to charge all funds under the City Council jurisdiction a percentage of covered payroll to reflect the costs to the Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund (MERF), and to charge the appropriate bi-weekly amounts, as provided for in state law, for each member of the Minneapolis Police Relief Association (MPRA) and Minneapolis Fire Relief Association (MFRA).

MERF unfunded liability. These liability amounts are included in the departmental appropriations and will be billed to the affected departments. Reinsurance amounts will be paid to a self-insurance pool funded through premiums paid by departments and tracked by department.

Department Related

Benefit Charge Authorization. The proper City Officials are directed to charge all funds under the City Council jurisdiction for the employer's cost of employee health and welfare benefits.

Overtime Limitation. The policy approved by the Mayor and Council limits all departmental overtime to 5% of personnel budgets.

Elected Official Budgets. A year end deficit will be allowed for each ward and the Mayor's office budget with the exception of the final year of term (but not for two consecutive years). The deficit will be paid by March 31 or the Council Member or Mayor's office budget for the current year will be reduced at mid-year. If there is savings in a ward or Mayor's budget, these savings can be reappropriated from one year to the next, but cannot be reappropriated the final year of the term.

Fire Department Staffing Authorization. The Fire Chief is authorized the discretion to maintain up to a daily staffing of 109 Fire Fighters, Fire Motor Operators and Fire Captains on fire suppression and emergency medical duty within the overall constraints of the Fire Department budget. The Fire Department shall be authorized to exceed its authorized strength for firefighters for training purposes provided that the average strength for the year is at or below the total authorized and the department does not exceed its legal spending authority.

Police Department Staffing Authorization. The Police Department shall be authorized to exceed its authorized strength sworn officers in order to achieve a higher strength in the summer months provided that the average monthly strength for the year is at or below the authorized strength and the department does not exceed its legal spending authority.

MECC Staffing Authorization. The Minneapolis Emergency Communications Center (911 Center) shall be authorized to exceed its authorized strength in order to achieve a higher strength in the summer months provided that the average monthly strength for the year is at or below the authorized strength and the department does not exceed its legal spending authority.

Inspections Staffing Authorization. The Inspections Division of Operations and Regulatory Services shall be authorized to exceed its authorized number of Housing Inspectors to minimize

service disruption to residents provided the department does not exceed its legal spending authority.

Authority of the Finance Officer

The Finance Officer or his/her designee has the following authority to approve technical changes:

- To make **temporary loans** to cover any cash deficits at the end of each fiscal year.
- To adjust appropriations in any fund to facilitate **transfers for debt service** which may be required, and to make all appropriate transfers and payments.
- To authorize the director of Management and Budget and/or Controller to sign real estate and bond documents in the absence of the City's Finance Officer.
- To amend appropriations related to **technical accounting treatment** changes.
- To adjust **re-appropriations for grant funds** within cost centers as appropriate.
- To allocate the **State Insurance Aid** payments received from the state for pension costs between the city and the Police and Fire Relief Associations. The City's allocation shall be for cost of Police and Fire PERA and shall be credited to the proper revenue account in the fund incurring the cost with the balance being allocated to the Relief Associations.
- To adjust the appropriations of the special revenue funds for **payments to various pension organizations** as may be required: Pension Fund (01990).
- To establish or adjust appropriations, transfer balances, or make payments to **carry out the intent** of any action or resolution Passed and Approved, or any legal agreement Passed, Approved and Executed, with respect to any inter-fund loans, advances, residual equity transfers, or operating transfers, or the repayment thereof.
- To make the necessary project and line item budget adjustments to periodically balance budgets and expenses between national objectives within given programs and within normal **CDBG program** constraints.
- To make any necessary appropriation adjustments to allow departments to receive and spend **NRP funds** consistent with Council-approved NRP Action Plans, Early Access requests, and First Step Plans.
- To appropriate available **grant balances** from the following grants:
 - (i) HUD Rental Rehab grant to Fund 01310
 - (ii) HUD HOME grant funds to Fund 01310 and 1500
 - (iii) Federal Transit Administration (Trolley) grant funds to either Fund 01310 or City Fund 01300 for use by the GMCVA/Meet Minneapolis
 - (iv) Eligible UDAG recapture funds to fund 01FNA
 - (v) State Economic Recovery Grants to fund 01SMN
 - (vi) HUD Special Purpose Grant MN47SPG507(TCOIC) funds to the Non-departmental Agency in the Fund (01400-1230000)
- To make appropriation adjustments to **correct any errors**, omissions or misstatements to accurately reflect the intent of the City Council in adopting the Operating Budget.
- To adjust the December Local Government Aid (LGA) payments to the Park Board if payment is not received from this independent board for the **management support fees** included in the adopted budget.
- To transfer appropriations between parking funds upon request by the Public Works Department. Such transfers shall not change the fund and Agency level totals as approved by the City Council and Mayor and shall not constitute approval of any policy change.
- To appropriate and transfer revenue within the Tax Increment and other special revenue funds consistent with the management of the City's tax increment districts, Common Project, Development Accounts and Preliminary Planning Fund: 01CLC (Local

Contribution), 01CNR (NRP), 01SAD (NRP Planning & Implementation), and 01SPH (Community Development Revenue).

- To establish or amend appropriations related to technical accounting treatment changes and to establish and adjust accounts and appropriations, make payments and transfers, process transactions as necessary for the purpose of cash management of revenues and facilitate any technical corrections, adjustments and completions authorized for the following project/s:
 - Target Center Finance Plan as adopted on March 10, 1995 and detailed in resolutions 95R-058, 95R-059, 95R-060 and Council action of August 22, 2003; and transactions implementing the “Amended and Restated Arena Lease, Operating, Management, Use and Assurances Agreement” dated May 2, 2007; so as to prevent situations that would require a market disclosure.
 - To make adjustments to internal rate models (General Fund Overhead, Internal Service Funds, etc...) and the appropriations attached to them for purposes of making technical corrections.

Appropriation and Reappropriation

Reappropriation in grant funds. The balances of 2008 appropriations for the following grant funds are hereby re-appropriated in the year 2009:

01300 Grants - Federal
01400 CDBG/UDAG Fund
01600 Grants – Other
01410 CDBG (CPED)
01310 and 1500 HOME (CPED)
01320 Enterprise Zone (CPED)
01SMN State Grants (CPED)

The balances of 2008 appropriations for administration in the CDBG/UDAG Grant fund (01400) shall be re-appropriated to the Non-Departmental Agency in 2009, except for the administrative portion of Way to Grow in Health.

NRP carryover authorization. With the exception of NRP Administration, the balance of the 2008 appropriations for NRP projects within Fund 01CNR (NRP) are hereby appropriated for said purposes in 2009. Specific amounts re-appropriated will be determined after the close of the 2008 fiscal year and upon review and approval of the Finance Officer.

CDBG Reprogramming Policy

The City’s current over-obligation of CDBG funds stands at \$5.22 million. The City manages its over-obligation through its new annual allocations. The first priority for reprogramming eligible available balances is to reduce the deficit in the City’s letter of credit with the Federal government. There are four sources for reprogramming funds:

- 1) unspent annual administrative appropriations,
- 2) unspent and not legally obligated public service funds over two years old,
- 3) cancelled, ineligible or unspent capital funds,
- 4) program income.

Administrative Allocations. The intent of the reprogramming policy and the footnotes is to limit administrative fund expenditures to the amount that was appropriated for that calendar year, regardless of the amount that is available from the grant balances. The limitation applies to the City Department's non-contractual, internal costs.

Funds that are allocated to grant recipients for administrative purposes are exempt from this provision (e.g.: Legal Aid, Public Housing Citizen Participation). These projects are legal obligations and would not become part of the administrative reprogramming calculation unless the projects were finalized without fully expending the funds.

Public Service Allocations. The amounts that are awarded from and appropriated for public service activities expire two years from the original award date for that CDBG program year that starts on June 1. The Department can roll over appropriations from the prior year, as needed to carryout these activities. After two years any unspent amounts and those that are not legally obligated are available for reprogramming and will be referred to the reprogramming process.

Capital Allocations. Beginning with the Year 32 (2006) approved Consolidated Plan, authorization for capital project allocations will expire for those projects that have not moved forward toward implementation as enumerated by meaningful financial obligation activity indicated by a minimum of 75% of appropriation expended on tangible project activities by the end of 2009 for appropriations authorized in 2006.

Program Income. Fifty percent of program income not obligated by pre-2008 contracts will be applied to reducing the deficit in the City's letter of credit with the Federal Government. The balance will be available for reprogramming.

The expiration will take place unless a request for an extension is specifically made and reauthorized by the City Council. Projects with a twelve-month period of inactivity shall also be cancelled if the project has been authorized for more than three years. Further, unspent CDBG capital allocations made prior to year 30 (2004) will be included in the reprogramming action during 2008.

Encumbrances. The Finance Officer has the authority to encumber funds, provided that the total encumbrance does not exceed the total department appropriation by City Council. When a contract has been signed or a purchase order executed, encumbrance of funds may be carried forward into the next fiscal year. The Finance Officer is responsible for certifying that an encumbrance is valid at the end of the fiscal year. Exceptions may be granted when no official document exists, as is the case by Internal Service Funds. Additionally, the Finance Officer may reject carryover based on the financial health of the fund or other extenuating circumstances. This policy change does not impact the requirement for a formal Request for Proposal for contracts over \$50,000.

Year-end Report

[Amended to include revenues in 2008] Not later than January 31st of each year the Finance department will report to the Ways and Means/Budget Committee on preliminary year-end expenditures and revenues by departments compared to authorized allocations for the prior fiscal year. This report will identify departments with expenditures in excess of authorized appropriations and/or revenues less than budgeted amounts.

Departments with actual expenses in excess of authorized appropriations and/or revenues less than budgeted amounts will report to the Ways & Means/Budget Committee each month for the year following the year of overspending or under-collection of revenues, beginning with the first committee meeting in February. This report should report actual spending and revenue

collection to date for the current year and forecasts for the balance of the year compared to allocations. If forecasted spending exceeds authorized appropriations the department will present a plan that reduces spending to authorized appropriations.

Operating Budget Reappropriation

The Ways and Means/Budget Committee will receive a list of requests, prepared by the Finance Department, to increase 2009 appropriation for goods and services encumbered in 2008 but not yet paid for that meet the following criteria:

- 1) a valid encumbrance;
- 2) a one-time expenditure (not recurring budget item);
- 3) a purpose consistent with the department's business plan;
- 4) the 2008 appropriation balance available for the encumbered item; and
- 5) the financial position of the fund (status of the fund relative to work out plans; whether the fund's spending in 2008 had expense in excess of revenue).

Operating Costs for Technology

Departments are directed to clearly identify within existing resources the funds that would be used to finance the ongoing costs related to the purchase or development of technology prior to the expenditure of funds for the purchase or development of that technology. The sponsoring department should prepare a Receive and File notice for consideration by the respective home committee and the Ways and Means/Budget Committee when the annual operating and maintenance cost of any technology project exceeds \$50,000. This notice should be submitted as soon after the sponsoring department has identified the ongoing costs and funding sources. BIS and Finance should work with departments to ensure those costs are identified and included in the contract for technology services.

Contract Management

Prior to committing to conditions requiring a minimum purchase under any contract, the department/departments involved must clearly identify the specific funding sources dedicated for such purchases.

Local Government Aid

Allocation. The allocation of Local Government Aid to Minneapolis from the State of Minnesota is to be distributed to the General Fund, Municipal Building Commission, and Park Board as indicated in the Council adopted tax policy. In 2009, this allocation is as follows:

City General Fund	\$78,043,592
Park Board	\$10,478,975
<u>Building Commission</u>	<u>\$ 267,257</u>
Total	\$88,789,824

Tax Increment Special Revenue Funds and Internal Loans. State statute requires that tax increment (TI) revenues be segregated from all other revenues of the City and maintained in separate funds established for each individual TI district. As a result of this statutory requirement, a separate fund is established at the time a new TI district is approved. Qualifying expenses are charged to these individual district funds as they are incurred. This action could result in negative balances in a fund until TI revenues are generated from the district.

When a district has a negative fund balance, this is considered to be an internal loan by the Office of the State Auditor and the TI Act requires prior, specific action to be taken by the City to authorize these internal, inter-fund loans.

Therefore as part of the budget process, and for purposes of covering any temporary negative fund balances, the City authorizes the advance of revenues from other TI special revenue funds in the amount needed to offset any negative fund balances incurred within a TI fund prior to or in excess of the collection of sufficient TI revenue. The interest rate paid on any advance will be equal to the rate of interest those revenues would have earned in their respective fund. The term of any advances shall end upon termination of any TI district that carries the negative fund balance. As TI revenues are available in a TI fund that previously had a negative balance, the advance shall be offset by the amount available in that fund.

Capital advances needed for negative fund balances will not result in the actual movement of revenue between funds, but the positive balance of all the City's TI funds will offset any negative balance in a TI fund.

Hilton Fund

Investment. The status of the fund, expenditures and balances are to be reported annually as part of the City's budget process.

Use for Discretionary Development. The Council has authorized in the Discretionary Development Plan (Resolution 2003R-404) that the Department of Community Planning and Economic Development may borrow up to \$22 million in annual amounts not to exceed \$3.679 million in calendar years 2004 through and including 2009. The loan shall be repaid from any funds received in repayment of the Brookfield Loan and the proceeds of any sale or other disposition of the MCDA's interest in the Saks Parcel, including net income from the operation of the Saks Parcel if any.

Gift Acceptance. Any gifts with a value of \$15,000 or less can be received by individual City departments with written notice to the Finance Officer or his/her designee. The Finance Department shall submit a quarterly gift report to the City Council's Ways and Means/Budget Committee for approval. Consistent with Minnesota Statutes, section 465.03, the department may not use the gift until it has been approved by a two-thirds vote of the City Council.

The quarterly gift report shall contain the following information on each donation:

- 1) Name of recipient department;
- 2) Name of entity making gift;
- 3) Description of gift (including value of gift, date received and special designations on gift, if any); and
- 4) Funding strings for revenue and expense appropriation increases.

For any gifts with a value exceeding \$15,000, the recipient department shall submit a request for authorization to accept the gift to the City Council's Ways & Means Committee directly.

Capital & Debt Management Resolution Policies

Appropriation and Reappropriation

Approvals for Bond Issuance. The amounts appropriated in any City fund to be financed by bond proceeds are contingent upon the necessary approvals for issuance. The Finance Officer is authorized to establish or adjust any bond-financed appropriations when the

necessary approvals for issuance of bonds are obtained. Further, the Finance Officer is authorized and directed to adjust assessment appropriations set forth in this resolution to reflect the actual amount to be assessed. Total amounts assessed will be established by a future Council action approving the assessment public hearing and the amount assessed for the project.

Creation of Appropriations. The Finance Officer is authorized to create or adjust certain appropriations subsequent to the sale of bonds, including all appropriate fund transfers and payments necessary to comply with arbitrage rebate and reporting to the federal government required under the Tax Reform Act of 1986 and revisions to debt service budgets resulting from bond sales during the year.

Reduction of Appropriations if revenues do not materialize. The amounts appropriated in the various funds to be financed from various revenue sources are now hereby appropriated contingent only upon the reasonable expectation of the receipt of the required financing. The Finance Officer is authorized and directed to reduce any capital appropriation whenever a revenue source is determined to be not collectible for whatever reason.

Capital Project Closure. The Finance Officer is authorized to approve the closure of non-bond funded capital projects and the adjustment of said appropriations as identified and requested by the City Engineer for those projects under Public Works.

Independent Boards: Appropriations and Capital Advances. The Finance Officer is authorized to establish or adjust appropriations, make payments and transfers, and process transactions as necessary for the purpose of providing departments, boards and commissions an internal financing alternative to lease/purchase agreements with external vendors. The Finance Officer may provide capital advances from individual funds to the extent funds are actually available. The Finance Officer shall establish the term and interest rate applicable to the capital advance. The principal amount of the capital advance can be transferred to the fund of the department, board or commission after the purchase of the asset and execution of an Internal Lease/Purchase Agreement, signed between the department, board or commission and the Finance Officer. Prior to issuing the capital advance, the Finance Officer shall determine that the department, board or commission has made a commitment in their annual budgets to provide the funds necessary to repay the advance, with interest, over the term of the agreement.

Reappropriation of Capital Projects. The Finance Officer is authorized to adjust re-appropriations to capital project funds as appropriate. The balances of prior year appropriations in Capital funds are hereby re-appropriated with the following exceptions:

<u>Fund</u>	<u>Project or Operating Organization</u>
City-Capital Impr Fund	PW Engineering Services
City-Capital Impr Fund	Sidewalk Inspection
City-Capital Impr Fund	Reimbursable Paving
City-Capital Impr Fund	Reimbursable Transportation

Balances of capital projects in the equipment –internal service fund, property – internal service fund, info tech – internal service fund, stormwater fund, water – enterprise fund and municipal parking enterprise fund are also hereby re-appropriated with the exception of Reimbursable Sewer Projects and Reimbursable Water Projects .

Reallocation of Capital Resources. The Finance Office should work with departments to ensure the timely closeout of capital projects. Generally, projects should be closed within four years of original bond issuance. Bonds should not be reallocated until a project is completed or canceled. At the time of project closing, any excess funds should be reallocated according to the following priorities:

1. completed projects with existing deficits;
2. approved capital programs with projected deficits; and
3. returned to the debt service fund to allow for increased capacity in future capital programs.

Reallocation of bond resources must follow applicable charter and statutory provisions related to the issuance of those resources and consistency with the City's comprehensive plan. New capital projects may be funded through the increased capacity achieved through priority #3 with full Council approval.

Expiration of Capital Project funding for certain projects. For certain capital projects, the funding is replenished annually due to the source of funding and/or recurring major maintenance nature of the projects. For these projects, the expenditures are to be paid from funds of the appropriation year in which the work occurs. These projects will not have their appropriations carried forward since they are replenished annually. If bonds are issued for these programs, the appropriations will carry over.

The projects follow:

SWK01	Defective Hazardous Sidewalks – Assessed portion
SW001	Sanitary Tunnel & Sewer Rehabilitation Program
SW002	Miscellaneous Storm Drains
SW004	Implementation of US EPA Storm Water Regulations
SW011	Storm Drains and Tunnels Rehabilitation Program
SW030	Alternative Stormwater Management Strategies
WTR12	Water Distribution Improvements
RMP01	Parking Facilities – Repair and Improvements
RMP03	Bicycle Parking

Accounting Adjustments. The Finance Officer is authorized to approve adjustments to Capital Appropriations between different agency and organization levels within the same fund and revenue source. Such budget transfers shall not constitute approvals of any policy change.

Adjustments Related to Cost of Bond Issuance and Maintenance Fees. The Finance Officer is authorized to establish or adjust appropriations and fund transfers to pay all costs associated with authorized City bond sales including costs of issuance and annual bond maintenance fees from the Bond Redemption Fund with the expenditures then being allocated to other funds as appropriate.

Funding of Capital Models and Studies. The Finance Officer is authorized to fund from investment earnings generated from capital project balances studies related to long-term financial planning models and related debt management activity.

Authorization of Transfers for Bond Proceeds and Investment Income. The Finance Officer is authorized to establish and adjust appropriations to provide for the transfer of funds to include bond proceeds and investment income for capital projects.

Correction of Errors. The Finance Officer is authorized to make corrections for errors of omission and misstatements in order to accurately reflect the current budget year of the adopted Five-Year Capital Program.

Appropriation of Debt Service. There is hereby appropriated in the various Debt Service Funds sufficient funds to pay debt service requirements.

Arbitrage, Internal Revenue Service Regulations and Related Policies

Use of Investment Earnings. The investment earnings from unspent bond proceeds shall be accounted for in separate arbitrage funds and will be allocated to debt service on net debt bond issues and shall be transferred on the scheduled debt service payment dates. The exception to this is that investment earnings on unspent tax increment bonds are to be used only for tax increment eligible purposes related to that specific tax increment bond issue.

Tax-Exempt Bonds. The Capital budget resolution constitutes an official declaration pursuant to IRS Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2 that the City intends to reimburse expenditures which may be made for those projects designated herein to be funded with bond proceeds by incurring tax-exempt debt of the City. The expenditures to be reimbursed include all preliminary expenses for planning, design, legal, consulting services and staff costs reasonably allocated to the project as well as costs incurred and paid for the design and construction of the projects after approval of the capital budget. The projects are more fully described in the Capital Budget Request forms on file in the office of the Director of Capital and Debt Management. The reasonably expected source of funds to pay debt service on the tax-exempt bonds to be issued by the City consists of the following according to the designated bond type:

Bond Type	Source
Net Debt, Library Referendum, Public Safety, Equipment	Property taxes and Internal User Fees
Sanitary Sewer	Sanitary Sewer revenues
Stormwater Sewer	Stormwater Fund revenues
Water	Water Fund revenues
Parking	Parking Fund revenues
Assessment	Special assessments
Tax Increment	Tax increment revenues

Reimbursement Intent. The Finance Officer is authorized to make further declarations of official reimbursement intent in connection with the projects described herein pursuant to IRS Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2 on behalf of the City consistent with budgetary and financial circumstances. Copies of any such further declarations shall be filed with the Ways & Means/Budget Committee and the Board of Estimate and Taxation.

Direction to Staff Regarding Capital Budget Process

Completion of Major Repair Items in Public Facilities. Public Works Property Services is directed to provide CLIC a one page summary of major repair items completed in the prior year as part of their PSD01 Facilities Repair and Improvements capital program. This document must accompany the annual capital submittal for this program.

Status of Art in Public Places Program. Staff responsible for the Art in Public Places capital program are directed to provide CLIC a report on the status of projects currently in the planning phase or under construction as part of their annual capital submittal.

Park Board Request. Park Board needs to provide CLIC a report showing where capital expenditures were incurred by project for the prior year and details of what projects are planned by year and by funding source as part of the Park Board capital submittal.

Planning Direction Provided. The adoption of the Five-Year Capital Program is to assist in planning and provide direction for City departments including Public Works Engineering Services, but it does not establish permanent Council commitment to the out-year projects either in scope or timeline of construction.

Capital Projects Status Report/Bond Authorization

Annual Capital Projects Status Report.

Once a year, no later than April 30th, the Finance Department will report to the City Council's Ways & Means/Budget Committee on the status of capital projects. This annual capital projects status report shall contain the following information by project and capital program year:

- 1) A list of all capital projects for which bonds or City funding sources have been authorized but have not been closed;
- 2) The amount of revenue received to date;
- 3) The current, expended and remaining appropriations;
- 4) The balance of project shortfalls or funds available for reprogramming; and
- 5) A list of outstanding capital projects with the amount of bond authorization and appropriation that will be considered for expiration in the following year.

The finance department shall also report on fund appropriations for capital projects, bond authorizations and proceeds balances that may be cancelled because projects have been completed or otherwise concluded, or because the purposes for which the money was appropriated or bonds were authorized or issued have been cancelled, completed, or otherwise concluded.

Expiration of capital project funding. Beginning with the 2006 City Council approved Capital Improvement Plan and for all such Plans authorized thereafter, the City Council authorization for bonds and appropriation for those capital projects, will expire for those projects that have not moved forward toward implementation as enumerated by meaningful financial obligation activity indicated by a minimum of 75% of appropriation expended on tangible project activities by the end of the third year following authorizations.

The expiration of appropriations under this policy will take place unless a request for an extension is specifically made and reauthorized by the City Council. Projects funded with bond proceeds that expire under this section shall require City Council reauthorization. Projects with a twelve-month period of inactivity shall also be cancelled if the project has been authorized for more than three years.

TRANSFER POLICIES

The objective of the transfer policies is to ensure the transfer of money between funds is done in a fiscally sound manner.

Municipal Parking Fund to General Fund. The municipal parking fund is a City enterprise fund used to account for the operation, maintenance and construction of the City's parking facilities as well as on-street parking and the municipal impound lot.

Transfer Criteria. Transfers into and out of the City's Municipal Parking Fund should meet the following criteria:

1. Be consistent with state laws, City ordinances and the City Council approved finance plans and financial policies;
2. Maintain and support favorable financial results among all affected funds;
3. Be sustainable to allow long-term financial planning.

Finance and Public Works will recommend to the Mayor and City Council the amount of the transfer based on an analysis of the financial history of the fund; this recommendation will be part of the annual presentation of the Five-Year Financial Direction.

**City of Minneapolis
FY 2009 Budget
Financial Policies**

**Proposed City of Minneapolis
Financial Planning and Policy Resolution: Independent Boards**

***Mayor and Council Adopted, July 2002
Board of Estimate and Taxation Adopted, July 2002***

Whereas:

1. At the direction of the Mayor and City Council, the City has prepared a ten-year financial projection of demands on the city property-tax supported funds, a summary of which is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference;
2. The projection demonstrates that the known demand on property tax revenues will significantly exceed reasonably foreseeable resources;
3. The Mayor and Council are developing a long-term strategy for managing the financial challenges documented in the ten-year projection and wish to engage the Independent Boards and the Board of Estimate and Taxation as partners in that strategy;
4. Recognizing that all City of Minneapolis taxing jurisdictions draw revenue from the same taxpayers, the Mayor and Council support an enterprise approach to establishing future property tax revenue projections. The Mayor and Council further support setting the maximum annual property tax levy at no more than an 8-percent annual increase for budget years' 2003 through 2010, inclusive of the levy for the Independent Boards, including the voter-approved library referendum;
5. The Mayor and Council desire to work with the Independent Boards and the Board of Estimate and Taxation to set long range financial parameters so that our joint taxpaying customers and our individual City governing boards can all make more informed business decisions about respective annual budgets.
6. The Mayor and Council desire to work with the Independent Boards in a fair and consistent manner.

Therefore Be It Resolved, That the Mayor and Council hereby adopt the following Policy Statements as provided below in Items A through E, with respect to the Independent Boards, to serve as a framework for developing the 2003 budget as well as long-term financial plans for the City and Independent Boards; and

Be It Further Resolved That the Mayor and Council submit to the Board of Estimate and Taxation recommended maximum property tax levies for taxes payable in year 2003. The Mayor and Council also request the Board of Estimate and Taxation to consider adopting parallel policy statements to Policy Statements A through E, adopted by the Mayor and Council as part of this resolution.

Policy Statements (A through E):

(A) Local Government Aid (LGA) from the State of Minnesota

The Mayor and Council will remain committed to August 26, 1994, Council action which based the annual enterprise distribution of LGA revenues on a stable percentage in exchange for a cap in individual board property tax levies.¹

The Mayor and Council will allocate LGA consistent with the 1994 agreement provided the independent boards adhere to the tax levy provision outlined in the original agreement, with the one modification. The Mayor and Council hereby propose amending the policy to provide for a maximum 4-percent annual increase in property tax levy versus the 3-percent included in the original 1994 agreement.

Consistent with the 1994 adopted agreement with the Independent Boards, the Council will distribute LGA based upon the following percentage allocation, as outlined below.

	<u>% Distribution of LGA</u>
Library Board	8.05%
Park Board ²	11.79%
Municipal Building Commission	0.30%
Board of Estimate and Taxation	.10%
City Council	79.76%
Total	100.00%

This has since been updated to no longer include a distribution to the Board of Estimate and Taxation and the Library Board. The Library Board no longer exists due to a merger of the Minneapolis library system with the Hennepin County library system. The new percentage breakdown of LGA is 87.897% to City Council, 11.802% to the Park Board, and 0.301% for the Municipal Building Commission for 2009.

The Council policy will continue to be that the City and the Independent Boards will share any legislative reductions or increases in LGA, using the same percentages as outlined above.

In the event an Independent Board's property tax levy increase exceeds 4-percent (adjusted for any one-time shifts), the City Council will reduce the LGA payment to the board to offset the additional increase.

If state legislation creates new aid programs for general city purposes, the Mayor and Council expresses the intent to negotiate with the Independent Boards an appropriate allocation basis for this revenue.

(B) Management Support Charges

² The allocation of LGA to the Park Board will increase from 10.89% in budget year 2002 to 11.79% in budget year 2003, provided the Park Board reduces their base property tax levy by \$1.0 million, which will be added to the City's General Fund base levy. The allocation of LGA to the General Fund will decrease from 80.66% in budget year 2002 to 79.76% in budget year 2003. This net result will be a \$1.0 million shift in LGA distribution from the General Fund to the Park Board and a \$1.0 shift in property tax levy from the Park Board to the General Fund, from budget year 2002 to 2003.

The Independent Boards will be charged for the actual cost of providing management support services to the boards. The basis for allocating costs will be the same as that used for Council departments. Management support services include, but are not limited to, services provided by the following city departments: Information Technology, Finance, and Human Resources.

Management support charges, as included in the Council's adopted 2002 budget, will be capped at \$800,000 for the Park Board and \$300,000 for the Library Board for both the 2002 and 2003 budget.

Beginning with the 2004 budget, the management support charges to the Independent Boards will be based upon standard accounting practices for allocating costs. The method and procedure to calculate the pro-rated costs and collection of the charge will be finalized and communicated to the Independent Boards by December 31, 2002.

As provided for in the 2002 budget footnotes, the City finance officer has the authority to reduce LGA payments to the Independent Boards if payment of the management support charge is not received prior to the distribution of the December LGA payment.

(C) Adjustments to Prior Year Increases in Property Tax Levies

As stated in Item A, the Mayor and Council remain committed to the 1994 budgetary policy regarding the Independent Boards. The 1994 policy has two parts (1) a stable percent allocation of LGA and (2) a 3-percent cap in annual property tax levy increases for the Independent Boards, which will be amended to a 4-percent cap beginning with the 2003 budget. The Park and Library Boards both had increases in 2002 property tax levies above this threshold. The Mayor and Council consider the portion of property tax levy increase above the policy threshold to be one-time funds for year 2002. The baseline tax levies for both entities should return to a level that corresponds to the 1994 agreement.

(D) Infrastructure Gap Funding

The Mayor and Council will support funding infrastructure "gap" closure for the Independent Boards in a similar manner to that of Public Works.

The City's adopted *2002-2006 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)* provides for closing 27% of Public Works infrastructure "gap", supported by property taxes, by year 2009³. To achieve this goal the property tax levy will need to increase by \$1.0 million annually from 2003 to 2009.

The Mayor and Council support a future funding plan for the Park Board that closes 27% of the previously identified \$5.5 million annual funding gap for park infrastructure by 2009. To achieve this goal \$215,000 of additional property tax

³ The 1997 State of the Public Works Infrastructure Report identified a \$44 million property tax supported funding gap for improving and maintaining public works infrastructure. The original plan was to fund 50% of this gap over a 10-year period time.

levy will be added annually to the Park Board levy, for a total of \$1.5 million in additional annual funding by 2009.

The Mayor and Council support reducing the base property tax revenue for the Park Board by the amounts added in years' 2001 and 2002 for Park Board capital purposes. In years' 2001 and 2002, \$1.5 million was added each year to the Park Board base property tax levy, or \$3.0 million in total over this two-year period of time. The Park Board has received the benefit of the additional \$4.5 million collected in property tax levy over this two-year period of time.

Recommended Park Board Capital Improvement Plan:

<i>(In millions of dollars)</i>	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Base Capital Funding ⁴	\$1.9	\$1.9	\$1.9	\$1.9	\$1.9	\$1.9	\$1.9
"Gap" Funding	\$0.2	\$0.4	\$0.6	\$0.9	\$1.1	\$1.3	\$1.5
Total Capital Funding	\$2.1	\$2.3	\$2.5	\$2.8	\$3.0	\$3.2	\$3.4

(E) Alternative Revenue Strategies

The Mayor and Council will work in cooperation with the Park Board to develop alternative funding strategies (i.e., land trusts and expanded friends of the parks and library), other than the property tax, for raising additional funds to support program and capital needs.

The Mayor and Council will not support any new referenda for the Independent Boards because of the significant pressure a referendum would create on the already burdened property tax. The Mayor and Council will act to educate taxpayers about the financial burden any new referenda would create for taxpayers.

Adopted Amendments:

1. Amendment to add an additional Policy Item, Policy Item F

The Mayor and City Council will support annual property tax increases for the Minneapolis Public Housing Authority at the same maximum 4-percent annual increase level as that established (in Policy Statement A) by this resolution for the Park Board, Library Board, Municipal Building Commission, and Board of Estimate and Taxation.

2. Amendment to Policy Item A

Amend Policy Item A to include the following language:

In the event the City Council reduces the LGA payment to an Independent Board (as provided for in this policy statement), the City will appropriate the additional LGA to the City's General Fund and reduce the City's General Fund property tax levy by an offsetting amount. This will be done in order to ensure the maximum property tax levy increase is maintained at 8-percent on a combined basis for the City, including the Independent Boards.

⁴ The 2002-2006 adopted CIP includes \$1,920,000 in net debt bond funding for the Park Board.

NEIGHBORHOOD REVITALIZATION (NRP) ORDINANCE (as amended August 22, 2003)

Note: This ordinance is an important policy document – it allocates the development resources and outlines how they are to be accessed.

The City Council of The City of Minneapolis do ordain as follows:

Section 1. That Section 419.20(a) of the above-entitled ordinance be amended by adding thereto the following definitions in alphabetical sequence to read as follows:

419.20. Definitions and general guidelines. (a) In this chapter, unless a different meaning clearly appears from the context:

Agency means the Minneapolis Community Development Agency, its successors or assigns.

Discretionary development funding plan means that certain resolution adopted by the city council on August 22, 2003, providing funding for discretionary development activities from 2004 through 2009.

Neighborhood action plan means the plan developed with the participation of neighborhood residents under subdivision 6 of the neighborhood revitalization program law.

Phase I means the first phase of the neighborhood revitalization program (1990-2000), funded by the Phase I funds.

Phase I funds means the city neighborhood revitalization program funds reserved from 1990 through 2000.

Phase II means the second phase of the neighborhood revitalization program (2001-2009), funded by the Phase II funds.

Phase II funds means twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000.00) in 2001, eleven million dollars (\$11,000,000.00) in 2002 and, for 2003 through 2009, the funds required to be reserved for neighborhood revitalization under chapter 604, subject to the priorities stated in section 419.35.

Sources of securities and repayment shall have the meaning set forth in the discretionary development funding plan.

Tax increment act means Minnesota Statutes, Sections 469.174-469.1799, as amended, which establishes the powers relating to use of tax increment.

Section 2. That Section 419.30 of the above-entitled ordinance be amended by adding thereto a new subdivision (c) to read as follows:

419.30. Neighborhood revitalization program.

(c) To achieve the chapter 604 requirement that at least 52.5 percent of the funds reserved for

neighborhood revitalization be expended on housing programs and related purposes, the policy board shall take the following actions:

(1) Commission an independent audit of compliance with the chapter 604 requirement for neighborhood revitalization program funds under contract or expended through June 30, 2003. The audit shall allocate contracted and actual expenditures for housing programs and related purposes among the following cost categories:

a. Creation of new rental housing units affordable to persons whose annual incomes do not exceed (i) thirty percent of and (ii) fifty percent of the median family income.

b. Rehabilitation or preservation of existing rental housing units affordable to persons whose annual incomes do not exceed (i) thirty percent of and (ii) fifty percent of the median family income.

c. Provision of affordable ownership housing opportunities, including first-time homebuyers' mortgages (with income qualifications).

d. Rehabilitation of existing housing units through housing loan and grant programs with income qualifications.

e. Rehabilitation of existing housing units through housing loan and grant programs without income qualifications.

f. Administrative costs associated with the operation of housing projects, programs, services and activities. The policy board shall complete the audit by October 15, 2003.

(2) Develop a plan to make up any deficiency identified by the audit using existing phase I fund balances and/or phase II funds. The plan shall include a timeframe and measurable outcomes that are consistent with city housing plans and policies as well as neighborhood action plans. The policy board shall submit the plan to the city council for consideration consistent with section 419.70 by October 22, 2003 and each October to the end of the program.

(3) Prepare and transmit by July 1 of each year to the governmental bodies represented on the policy board an annual recommended budget and five (5) year plan for use of the phase II funds and any other funds made available to the policy board consistent with city goals, plans and

policies, approved neighborhood action plans and applicable laws, ordinances and resolutions. The policy board submission will constitute its recommendations to the mayor and other appropriate parties for consideration in the budget deliberations for the upcoming year.

Section 3. That a new Section 419.35 be added to the above-entitled ordinance to read as follows:

419.35. Common project priorities for phase II.

(a) Tax increment and other revenues generated from the common project, but excluding the sources of security and repayment, shall, for purposes of phase II, be applied in accordance with the following priorities in order given.

(1) Payment or reservation for payment of any debt obligations, contractual obligations or other obligations incurred by the agency or the city from time to time with respect to the common project;

(2) Payment of tax increment administration costs related to the common project as permitted pursuant to the tax increment act;

(3) Reservation of phase II funds pursuant to this chapter, except that no more than \$20,000,000 shall be reserved for neighborhood revitalization in any fiscal year; and

(4) General development purposes, as permitted by law.

(b) The sources of security and repayment shall be applied in accordance with the discretionary development funding plan.

(c) The revenues identified in that certain target center finance plan adopted by the city council in 1995, as amended through August 22, 2003, including revenues not generated by the common project, shall be applied to offset target center obligations.

Section 4. That Section 419.40 of the above-entitled ordinance be amended to read as follows:

419.40. Commitment of city neighborhood revitalization program funds.

(a) Phase I. In furtherance of the goals stated in section 419.30(a), the city hereby commits to provide the city neighborhood revitalization program phase I funds, commencing in 1990 through and including 2000, for phase 1 of the neighborhood revitalization program.

(b) Phase II. In furtherance of the goals stated in section 419.30(b), and subject to the priorities established by section 419.35, the city hereby commits to reserve the phase II funds for phase II neighborhood action plans, commencing in 2001 through and including 2009, to the extent that such

funds are available pursuant to section 419.35. The difference, if any, between the total phase II funds reserved in any fiscal year and the twenty million dollar (\$20,000,000) cap shall not be carried forward to any subsequent fiscal year.

Section 5. That Chapter 419 of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances be amended by adding thereto a new Section 419.55 to read as follows:

419.55. Phase II neighborhood revitalization program process. The provisions of section 419.50 shall apply only to phase I of the neighborhood revitalization program. The following provisions apply to phase II of the neighborhood revitalization program.

(a) Purposes; qualifying costs. Neighborhood action plans may provide for expenditure of phase II funds for the following purposes:

(1) To eliminate blighting influences by acquiring and clearing or rehabilitating properties that the city finds have caused or will cause a decline in the value of properties in the area or will increase the probability that properties in the area will be allowed to physically deteriorate.

(2) To assist in the development of industrial properties that provide employment opportunities paying a livable income to the residents of the neighborhood and that will not adversely affect the overall character of the neighborhood.

(3) To acquire, develop, construct, physically maintain, rehabilitate, renovate, or replace neighborhood commercial and retail facilities necessary to maintain neighborhood vitality.

(4) To eliminate health hazards through the removal of hazardous waste and pollution and return of land to productive use, if the responsible party is unavailable or unable to pay for the cost.

(5) To rehabilitate existing housing and encourage homeownership.

(6) To construct new housing, where appropriate.

(7) To rehabilitate and construct new low-income, affordable rental housing.

(8) To remove vacant and boarded up houses.

(9) To rehabilitate or construct community-based nonprofit and public facilities necessary to carry out the purpose of the neighborhood revitalization program.

(b) Phase II funds; distribution and restrictions.

(1) Phase II funds may only be expended in accordance with the neighborhood revitalization program

- (i) for a purpose listed in section 419.55(a); or
- (ii) as provided in subdivision 4 of the neighborhood revitalization program law, including the promotion of neighborhood safety and stability through community crime prevention programs and activities such as neighborhood block and police patrols, block clubs and community outreach; or
- (iii) to cover administrative costs attached to implementation of strategies contained in approved neighborhood action plans.

(2) Phase II funds may not be used in those project areas of the city where the city determines that private investment will be sufficient to provide for development and redevelopment of the project area without public sector assistance, except in cases where phase II funds are

being used to remove or rehabilitate structurally substandard or obsolete buildings in a manner consistent with the neighborhoods' priorities as reflected in an approved neighborhood action plan.

(3) Except as provided in the neighborhood revitalization program law, revenues derived from tax increments may only be expended for the purposes otherwise permitted by law.

(4) A minimum of 52.5 percent of the phase II funds must be expended on housing programs and related purposes. Compliance with this provision shall be measured annually. The phase II target for housing programs and related purposes shall be adjusted to accommodate for any shortfall in expenditures in phase I such that the total expended in phase I and phase II for housing programs and related purposes shall be no less than 52.5 percent.

(5) If a strategy in an approved neighborhood action plan has not expended 50% of the allocated dollars in three (3) years, the neighborhood must verify that the strategy remains appropriate or the strategy must be changed or the dollars will be reallocated to the NRP program.

(6) No more than 20% of the program dollars expended (on an annual basis) may be used for administrative costs, both in central administration and at the neighborhood level.

(c) General principles. Phase II of the neighborhood revitalization program must be developed based on the following general principles:

(1) The social needs of neighborhood residents, particularly lower income residents, must be addressed to provide a safe and healthy environment for neighborhood residents, provide for the self-sufficiency of families, and increase the economic and social stability of neighborhoods.

(2) The children residing in the neighborhoods must be given the opportunity for a quality education and the needs of each neighborhood must be addressed individually wherever possible.

(3) The physical structure of the neighborhoods must be enhanced by providing safe and suitable housing and infrastructure to increase the desirability of neighborhoods as places to live.

(d) Required program elements. Phase II of the neighborhood revitalization program must include the following:

(1) The identification of the neighborhoods that require assistance through the program.

(2) A strategy of the citizen participation required under this chapter.

(3) The neighborhood action plans required under this chapter.

(4) The activities of participating organizations undertaken to address the general principles.

(5) An evaluation of the success of the neighborhood action plans.

(e) Neighborhood action plans. Phase II of the neighborhood revitalization program must include the preparation and implementation of neighborhood action plans. The city must organize neighborhoods to prepare and implement the neighborhood action plans. The neighborhoods must include the participation of, whenever possible, all populations and interests in each neighborhood including renters, homeowners, people of color, business owners, representatives of neighborhood institutions, youth, and the elderly. Each neighborhood action plan must be submitted to the policy board established under this chapter and the neighborhood revitalization program law. The city will provide available resources, information, and technical assistance to prepare the neighborhood action plans.

(f) Approval. The policy board shall review, modify where appropriate, and approve, in whole or in part, the neighborhood action plans and forward its recommendations for final action to the governing bodies represented on the policy board. The city council shall review, modify where appropriate, and give final approval, in whole or in part, to those actions over which it has programmatic jurisdiction.

Section 6. That Section 419.70 of the above-entitled ordinance be amended to read as follows:

419.70. Program recommendations.

(a) The mayor and the city council will use the following criteria in considering neighborhood action plan strategies for use of city neighborhood revitalization program phase I funds and phase II funds:

- (1) Demonstrated public purpose of the strategies.
 - (2) Demonstrated need for the strategies.
 - (3) Short-term or long-term needs of the neighborhood.
 - (4) Benefiting population.
 - (5) Ability of the strategies to provide a return on the investment.
 - (6) Coordination with programming elements or providers.
 - (7) Availability of operating or maintenance funds.
 - (8) Lack of alternative sources of revenue.
 - (9) One-time or ongoing capital requirement.
 - (10) Multijurisdictional benefits of the strategies.
 - (11) Neighborhood revitalization benefits of the strategies.
 - (12) Demonstrated ability of the neighborhood revitalization program as a whole to meet the 52.5 percent funding requirement for housing programs and related purposes.
 - (13) The extent to which the strategies leverage existing city programs and funds to accomplish city housing and development objectives in the neighborhoods that carry out the purposes of the neighborhood revitalization program.
- (b) Concurrent with approval of each neighborhood action plan, the city council will appropriate reserve city neighborhood revitalization program phase I or phase II funds of the Minneapolis Community Development Agency, as appropriate, for appropriation and expenditure consistent with such action plan.

(c) Because of the acknowledged substantial unmet need and severe lack of resources available for disadvantaged youth in the City of Minneapolis, beginning in 1990 and continuing through 2003, the city council, at the request of the policy board, shall appropriate two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000) of the city neighborhood revitalization program funds each year to the multi-jurisdictional youth coordinating board from non-tax increment sources.

(d) With respect to phase I only, The amounts made available during the first phase of the neighborhood revitalization program to Special School District No. 1 and to Hennepin County for education programs and services and for social services, respectively, must be expended as part of an approved neighborhood

action plan, as required by subdivision 4 of the neighborhood revitalization program law. The boards of Special School District No. 1 and Hennepin County shall each approve appropriations from the funds available to them from the neighborhood revitalization program and shall forward proposed strategies to the policy board for approval.

Adopted 8/22/03.

Discretionary Development Funding Plan Providing Funding for Discretionary Development Activities from 2004-2009

Resolved by the City Council of the City of Minneapolis:

Section 1. Title. This resolution shall be known as the Discretionary Development Funding Plan.

Section 2. Definitions.

2.01. "Legacy Fund" means that certain investment trust account containing the proceeds of the 1999 sale of the MCDA's interest in the Hilton Hotel property.

2.02. "Sources of Security and Repayment" means the proceeds of any repayment or other receipt of funds relative to the Brookfield Loan and the proceeds of any sale or other disposition of the MCDA's interest in the Saks Parcel, including net income from operation of the Saks Parcel, if any.

2.03. "Brookfield Loan" means that certain MCDA loan for the Gaviidae II project to BCED Minnesota, Inc. in the original principal amount of \$15,000,000 maturing December 19, 2008, which was extended one year to December 19, 2009 by the borrower pursuant to an option in the loan documents.

2.04. "Discretionary Development Activities" means such discretionary development activities as the City Council and/or the MCDA Board of Commissioners may from time to time direct through their budgeting and business planning processes.

2.05. "Installment Amount" means an amount not to exceed Three Million Six Hundred Seventy Nine Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$3,679,000.00) in any calendar year.

2.06. "Loan" means the loan authorized by Section 3.01 of this resolution (inclusive of principal and interest accrued hereunder).

2.07. "MCDA" means the Minneapolis Community Development Agency, its successors and assigns.

2.08. "Loan Amount Due" means the total principal amount and accrued interest outstanding under this resolution at any given point in time.

2.09. "Saks Parcel" means that portion of the Gaviidae I project currently owned by MCDA, subject

to certain legal claims by Brookfield Market, Inc., and leased to Saks and M & I Bank.

Section 3. Loan Authorization.

3.01. Loan. In calendar years 2004 through and including 2009, the MCDA or its successor in interest may borrow up to Twenty-Two Million and No/100 Dollars (\$22,000,000) from the Legacy Fund for Discretionary Development Activities as provided herein.

3.02. Installments. The Loan may be drawn down in an annual amount not to exceed the Installment Amount by action of the Mayor and City Council and/or the MCDA Board of Commissioners, as appropriate, in accordance with the process set forth in Section 5.01 below.

Section 4. Loan Repayment.

4.01. Repayment and Security. The Loan shall be secured with and repaid from the Sources of Security and Repayment and such other funds as the City Council may direct, if any.

4.02. Repayment Priority. Repayment of the Loan shall have first priority with respect to the Sources of Security and Repayment. No money from the Sources of Security and Repayment shall be allocated, reserved, pledged, expended or otherwise encumbered to or for any other use while there remains an amount due on the Loan.

4.03. Time of Repayment. Money from the Sources of Security and Repayment shall be applied to repay the Loan and credited to the Legacy Fund at the time they are received.

4.04. Excess Sources of Security and Repayment. In the event that the money received from the Sources of Security and Repayment exceeds the Loan Amount Due at the time when the money is received, the balance in excess of the Loan Amount Due shall be reserved for Discretionary Development Activities. Any such balance must be drawn down under this resolution for Discretionary Development Activities, up to an annual maximum of the Installment Amount, before additional money may be drawn down under the Loan. In the event that the outstanding balance is less than the Installment Amount in any calendar year eligible for a loan installment, the Loan may be drawn down so that the total of the outstanding balance and the loan installment do not exceed the Installment Amount.

Section 5. Further Actions.

5.01. Annual Process. Subject to Section 4.04 above, the Mayor and City Council and/or the MCDA Board of Commissioners, as appropriate, shall act annually as part of their budget process to set the

amount of the annual installment and shall direct staff to transfer funds from the Legacy Fund to the appropriate City or MCDA fund as part of the adopted budget.

5.02. Status. As part of the annual budget process or as may otherwise be deemed reasonable or necessary by the Finance Officer, staff shall present to the Mayor and City Council and/or the MCDA Board of Commissioners, as appropriate, the status of the Loan and the Sources of Security and Repayment.

5.03. Authority to Pay. Staff shall make repayments hereunder as Sources of Security and Repayment become available for repayment at such time and in such manner as the Finance Officer may direct without the need for further action by the Mayor and City Council and/or the MCDA Board of Commissioners.

Adopted 8/22/03. Yeas, 12; Nays, 1 as follows:

Yeas - Lilligren, Johnson Lee, Benson, Goodman, Lane, Samuels, Johnson, Colvin Roy, Zimmermann, Schiff, Zerby, Ostrow.

Nays - Niziole